

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA
14 ADOLESCENT
15 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY
16 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

16 This Document Relates to:
17 ALL SCHOOL DISTRICT ACTIONS
18
19
20

AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING
IMPLEMENTATION ORDER
GOVERNING SCHOOL DISTRICT
PLAINTIFF FACT SHEET AND
SUPPLEMENTAL PLAINTIFF FACT
SHEET

21 **WHEREAS**, at the February 23, 2024 Case Management Conference the parties advised
22 the Court that they would submit an Implementation Order that applies to School District Plaintiffs
23 and sets forth the procedures for the exchange of the School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet and
24 Supplemental School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet (CMC Tr. 2/23/24 at 76).

25 **WHEREAS**, the parties Stipulate and Agree to provisions of the *[Proposed] Stipulated*
26 *Implementation Order Governing School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet And Supplemental Plaintiff*
27 *Fact Sheet* attached as **Exhibit 1**, and respectfully request the Court enter it.
28

1 Dated: March 21, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

2 **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN,**
3 **LLP**

4 By: /s/ Lexi J. Hazam

Lexi J. Hazam

5 **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &**
6 **BERNSTEIN, LLP**

275 Battery Street, 29th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: + 1 (415) 956-1000

lhazam@lchb.com

8 Previn Warren

9 **MOTLEY RICE LLC**

401 9th Street NW, Suite 630

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: + 1 (202) 386-9610

pwarren@motleyrice.com

12 *Co-Lead Counsel*

13 Christopher A. Seeger

14 **SEEGER WEISS, LLP**

55 Challenger Road, 6th Floor

Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660

Telephone: + 1 (973) 639-9100

Facsimile: + 1 (973) 679-8656

cseeger@seegerweiss.com

17 *Counsel to the Lead Counsel*

18 Jennie Lee Anderson

19 **ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP**

155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: + 1 (415) 986-1400

jennie@andrusanderson.com

21 *Liaison Counsel*

22 Michael M. Weinkowitz

23 **LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, LLP**

510 Walnut Street, Suite 500

Philadelphia, PA 19106

Telephone: + 1 (215) 592-1500

mweinkowitz@lfsbalw.com

26 *Plaintiff Leadership Committee*

27 *Co-Chair of Local Government Entity Committee*

MELISSA L. YEATES
**KESSLER TOPAZ
MELTZER CHECK, LLP**
280 King of Prussia Road
Radnor, PA 19087
Telephone: 610-667-7706
myeates@ktmc.com

Co-Chair of Local Government Entity Committee

KING & SPALDING LLP

By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Drake
Geoffrey M. Drake, *pro hac vice*
gdrake@kslaw.com
TaCara D. Harris, *pro hac vice*
tharris@kslaw.com
David Mattern, *pro hac vice*
dmattern@kslaw.com
King & Spalding LLP
1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600
Atlanta, GA 30309
Telephone: + 1 (404) 572-4600
Facsimile: + 1 (404) 572-5100

FAEGRE DRINKER LLP

By: /s/ Andrea Roberts Pierson
Andrea Roberts Pierson, *pro hac vice*
andrea.pierson@faegredrinker.com
Amy Fiterman, *pro hac vice*
amy.fiterman@faegredrinker.com
Faegre Drinker LLP
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: + 1 (317) 237-0300
Facsimile: + 1 (317) 237-1000

*Attorneys for Defendants TikTok Inc., ByteDance
Inc., ByteDance Ltd., TikTok Ltd., and TikTok, LLC*

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

By: /s/ Mark W. Mosier
Mark W. Mosier, *pro hac vice*
mmosier@cov.com
Paul W. Schmidt, *pro hac vice*
pschmidt@cov.com
Phyllis A. Jones, *pro hac vice*
pajones@cov.com

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One City Center
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291

Emily Johnson Henn (SBN 269482)
ehenn@cov.com
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
3000 El Camino Real
5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94306
Telephone: + 1 (650) 632-4700
Facsimile: + 1 (650) 632-4800

*Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a/
Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings, LLC; Facebook
Operations, LLC; Facebook Payments, Inc.; Facebook
Technologies, LLC; Instagram, LLC; Siculus, Inc.;
and Mark Elliott Zuckerberg*

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP

By: /s/ Jonathan H. Blavin
Jonathan H. Blavin (SBN 230269)
jonathan.blavin@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: + 1 (415) 512-4000
Facsimile: + 1 (415) 512-4077

Rose L. Ehler (SBN 296523)
rose.ehler@mto.com
Victoria A. Degtyareva (SBN 284199)
victoria.degtyareva@mto.com
Ariel T. Teshuva (SBN 324238)
ariel.teshuva@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: + 1 (213) 683-9100
Facsimile: + 1 (213) 687-3702

Lauren A. Bell, pro hac vice
lauren.bell@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP
601 Massachusetts Ave.,
NW, Suite 500 E
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: + 1 (202) 220-1100
Facsimile: + 1 (202) 220-2300

Attorneys for Defendant Snap Inc.

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

By: /s/ Brian M. Willen

Brian M. Willen, *pro hac vice*
bwillen@wsgr.com
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40 Floor
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: + 1 (212) 999-5800
Facsimile: + 1 (212) 999-5899

Lauren Gallo White (SBN 309075)
lwhite@wsgr.com
Andrew Kramer (SBN 321574)
akramer@wsgr.com
Carmen Sobczak (SBN 342569)
One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: + 1 (415) 947-2000
Facsimile: + 1 (415) 947-2099

Christopher Chiou (SBN 233587)
cchiou@wsgr.com
Matthew K. Donohue (SBN 302144)
mdonohue@wsgr.com
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
633 West Fifth Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: + 1 (323) 210-2900
Facsimile: + 1 (866) 974-7329

*Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google LLC
and Alphabet Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA
ADOLESCENT
ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

This Document Relates to:

ALL SCHOOL DISTRICT ACTIONS

**[PROPOSED] STIPULATED
IMPLEMENTATION ORDER
GOVERNING SCHOOL DISTRICT
PLAINTIFF FACT SHEET AND
SUPPLEMENTAL PLAINTIFF FACT
SHEET**

I. APPLICABILITY AND SCOPE OF ORDER

1. This Implementation Order (“Order”) applies only to School District Plaintiffs and sets forth the procedures for the exchange of the School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet and Supplemental School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

2. The Parties met and conferred and received guidance from the Honorable Carolyn B. Kuhl, Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles and agreed that the School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet (SD-PFS) attached as **Exhibit A** and Supplemental

School District Plaintiff Fact Sheet (SUP-SD-PFS) attached as **Exhibit B** should be adopted for use in this MDL.

3. The Parties have further agreed that the requirements below should be adopted for the implementation of the SD-PFS and the SUP-SD-PFS in this MDL.

II. THE SD-PFS AND SUP-SD-PFS FORM(S)

4. Each School District Plaintiff in any action filed in or transferred to this MDL must complete and serve verified, written responses to the SD-PFS (attached as **Exhibit A**) and SUP-SD-PFS (attached as **Exhibit B**), together with any responsive documents. Every School District Plaintiff is required to provide an SD-PFS and a SUP-SD-PFS that are substantially complete in all respects.

5. For the SD-PFS and the SUP-SD-PFS to be “substantially complete,” the responding School District Plaintiff must: (a) answer the questions contained in the SD-PFS and the SUP-SD-PFS to the best of their ability, and (2) provide all applicable responsive documents.

III. SERVICE AND TIMING OF THE SD-PFS AND SUP-SD-PFS AND RELATED MATERIALS

6. The deadlines for service of verified written responses together with any document for the SD-PFS and SUP-SD-PFS are as follows:

	SD-PFS DEADLINE	SUP-SD PFS DEADLINE	
A School District Plaintiff whose case was on file or transferred to this MDL as of March 1, 2024	April 1, 2024	Bellwether School District June 1, 2024	Non-Bellwether School District October 1, 2024
A School District Plaintiff whose case was filed or transferred to this MDL after March 1, 2024	No later than 90 days of their case being filed or transferred to this MDL, whichever is later	Same as SD-PFS (No later than 90 days of their case being filed or transferred to this MDL, whichever is later)	

1 **IV. TRANSMISSION OF PFS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANTS**

2 7. To complete and serve the SD-PFS and the SUP-SD-PFS, the Parties have agreed
3 to utilize the online “MDL Centrality” platform provided by BrownGreer PLC and accessible at
4 MDLCentrality.com. All questions regarding the use of MDL Centrality should be directed to
5 MDLCentrality@browngreer.com.

6 8. With the exception of objections for privilege, each School District Plaintiff shall
7 submit and serve the SD-PFS and the SUP-SD-PFS, together with all applicable appendices and
8 any responsive documents, without objection, by submission to MDL Centrality.

9 9. Responses to the questions contained in the PFS shall be treated as verified
10 responses to interrogatories and, where documents are requested, they shall be treated as responses
11 to requests for production of documents under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All responses
12 will be governed by the standards applicable to written discovery responses under the Federal Rules
13 of Civil Procedure. If a School District Plaintiff’s case is selected for additional case-specific
14 discovery, the School District Plaintiff must timely supplement or correct the SD-PFS and the SUP-
15 SD PFS in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e).

16 10. The information that each School District Plaintiff must provide pursuant to this
17 Order, including completing, submitting, and serving an SD-PFS and a SUP-SD-PFS and
18 responsive documents, is without prejudice to Defendants’ right to propound or seek additional
19 non-duplicative discovery in the future, and nothing in the SD-PFS or the SUP-SD-PFS shall be
20 deemed to limit the scope of that discovery, including any inquiry at any deposition. Neither the
21 SD-PFS nor the SUP-SD-PFS shall count against the numeric limits for written discovery in the
22 School District cases set by Magistrate Judge Kang.

23 **V. DEFICIENCY DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

24 11. If a School District Plaintiff has not served a substantially complete SD-PFS and
25 SUP-SD-PFS as defined in Paragraph 5, Defendants may send a Notice of Deficiency via MDL
26 Centrality that identifies the alleged deficiencies. If Defendants elect to send a Notice of Deficiency,
27 Defendants shall serve the Notice of Deficiency within sixty (60) days of receipt of the SD-PFS or
28

1 SUP-SD-PFS.

2 12. Following receipt of the Notice of Deficiency, School District Plaintiffs shall have
3 thirty (30) days to jointly meet and confer with Defendants regarding the perceived deficiencies
4 and possible resolution by amendment to the SD-PFS and SUP-SD-PFS or the submission of
5 additional documents.

6 13. Notwithstanding the foregoing language, Defendants reserve the right to seek (after
7 meeting and conferring with Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and the Plaintiffs' Co-Chair of the Local
8 Government Entity Committee) Court intervention if Plaintiffs do not serve substantially complete
9 SD-PFSs on April 1, 2024, to permit the meaningful completion of bellwether selection on April
10 15, 2024.

11 14. The parties will meet and confer over a deficiency process for School District cases
12 filed or transferred to this MDL after the date this Order is entered.

13 **VI. CONFIDENTIALITY**

14 15. Information provided pursuant to an SD-PFS and SUP-SD-PFS is deemed
15 confidential and will be subject to the terms of the Protective Order entered in this MDL.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **VII. ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE**

2 16. The Federal Rules of Evidence shall govern the admissibility of information
3 contained in the SD-PFS and SUP-SD-PFS. Nothing in the SD-PFS and SUP-SD-PFS or this Order
4 shall be deemed to limit the admissibility of evidence during this proceeding, including at trial.
5 This paragraph does not prohibit a Party from withholding or redacting information based upon a
6 recognized privilege. Documents withheld on the basis of privilege shall be logged consistent with
7 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable orders of this Court except that a School
8 District Plaintiff is not required to log privileged communications occurring after the date Plaintiff
9 retained counsel between the Plaintiff and their counsel. The Plaintiff is also not required to log
10 drafts of the SD-PFS and SUP-SD-PFS.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED,**

12 Dated: _____, 2024

13
14 _____
15 Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28